

## From Historical Perspectives to Some Modern Possibilities

Lawrence H. Aller

*Division of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Dept. of Physics and  
 Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1562*

**Abstract.** A historical perspective on the study of asymmetries in planetary nebulae (PNs) is presented. We also describe our ongoing work in high resolution spectroscopy of planetaries, and discuss some likely future directions for the study of asymmetrical PNs.

The first systematic study of planetary nebulae (PNs) was undertaken at Lick Observatory from 1914 to 1917 and is reported in detail in Volume 13 of their publications. Of particular interest are the extensive papers of Curtis (1918) on the shapes and forms of planetaries and of Campbell & Moore (1918) on their internal motions.

Curtis' discussion was based on plates taken with the Crossley Reflector with a plate scale of  $38.7'' \text{ mm}^{-1}$ . Many PN are, of course, quite compact so the fine details are lost because of the limited resolution of the emulsion. Curtis obtained a series of graded exposures from which he made drawings of each object, thus overcoming many of the limitations imposed by the non-linearity of photographic emulsions and the limited intensity range that can be accommodated by a single exposure. He recognized that by going to a telescope of much longer focal length "a wealth of minute structural detail would be shown in features that appeared on Crossley plates as diffuse areas and wisps." From direct photographs alone (even without monochromatic images that showed widely different structures in [O II], [O III], and He II, for example), Curtis noted: "It is evident that we have to do with structures of extraordinary complexities – the aberrant wisps and striae and other minor formal irregularities in such structures as the Ring Nebula in Lyra, NGC 7009, NGC 7026 and others would seem to defy all attempts to analyze the details, whatever hypothesis may be adopted regarding the general form of the structure as a whole."

Curtis (1918) noted that the ring hypothesis fails, as we should expect a large number of elliptical or edge-on forms. The hypothesis of ellipsoidal shells of uniform thickness also fails, as it cannot explain very faint central regions or faintness at the ends of the major axis. He proposed some general classes: ellipsoids or sphere-ring forms, ring shells, ellipsoidal shells, helical objects such as NGC 6543 and NGC 7293, and anomalous forms like NGC 2440. He was unable to recognize such objects as NGC 7027 as shell structures heavily obscured by dust and classified them as "anomalous."

One of the earliest attempts to combine nebular direct photos with kinematical data was that made by Warren K. Green (1917). He compared direct images and radial velocity studies of NGC 6543 and NGC 7009 and tried to interpret them with a theoretical picture of rotating shells of gas. He told me

that after he left Lick Observatory he wrote his thesis while he was in the French Foreign Legion, and “nevermore worked on planetary nebulae.”

The idea that planetary nebulae had split and distorted spectral lines because they were in rotation seriously impaired progress in this field for many years. Offhand, starting from the level of understanding of these objects at the beginning of the 20th century, the hypothesis has a taint of plausibility. After all, from asteroids to galaxies, astronomical objects do rotate, so why not planetary nebulae? However expanding shells offer a simpler, more rational explanation of the doubled lines, Perinne (1929) suggested, while Zanstra (1931) soon conclusively demonstrated that only the expansion hypothesis would work. The arguments are so elementary that there is no need to review them here; basically, were the PNs in rotation, the lines would be tilted when the slit was placed across the object perpendicular to the rotation axis. Further, in NGC 7662, the He II  $\lambda 4686$  and 5007 [O III] lines were mirror images of one another, implying shells rotating in opposite directions. Nevertheless, Campbell and Moore concluded that of the 23 PNs showing internal motions, 19 could be interpretable as rotation!

After this important initial effort, the next great leap forward came with the application of atomic physics to spectroscopy, and especially to the spectra of gaseous nebulae. The names of Zanstra, Bowen, Menzel, and Ambartsumian are particularly associated with this development, although many others played important supporting roles. By the forties we had firm ideas of how the spectra of gaseous nebulae could be interpreted and how measurement of the intensities of nebular lines could give important clues to their diagnostics, so important in understanding complex PNs.

The next important observational advances were made by Olin Wilson and Rudolph Minkowski at the Mt. Wilson and Palomar Observatories. Wilson used the coude spectrograph on the 100-inch telescope to observe the spectral lines of many ions. With the aid of a multislit spectrograph, in which a single slit was replaced of by a series of closely-spaced parallel slits, he could observe the pattern of radial velocity motions over the entire image. Thus the “kinematical structure” could be obtained across the whole PN and in several spectral lines. Wilson found a common kinematical pattern in many PNs in that the ions of highest excitation (e.g., [Ne V]) gave the smallest expansion velocities, while those of low ionization (e.g. [O II]) gave the highest velocity of expansion (Wilson 1950). Possibly, radiation pressure expelled the gases in the outermost part of the envelope outward while pushing the inner part of the nebular shell backwards towards the star. Not all PNs conform to this rule (see Sabbadin & Hamazaoglu 1981). In some planetaries of low excitation, [O I] and [S II] show substantial expansion velocities whilst other ions such as  $O^+$ ,  $N^+$  and  $O^{++}$  seem to show no expansion at all (e.g., IC 418; Wilson 1950). Wilson also secured monochromatic images of IC 418 in  $H\alpha$ , [N II], and [O III] from which the spatial distributions of the relevant ions could be deduced and compared with theoretical predictions of stratification.

Direct photographs of a number of PNs were secured at the Mt. Palomar 200" telescope by Minkowski in the 1940s and 1950s. Unfortunately, the published record of these observations is only fragmentary; see e.g. Minkowski (1964) for some selected images. Isophotic contours of a number of images were also

published by Aller (1956). The original plan by Minkowski and Wilson to include both large scale images and kinematic data in what might be considered an “updated Lick Vol. 13” was never implemented, unfortunately.

Minkowski obtained images in  $H\alpha + [N II]$ , 4340,  $[O II]$ ,  $[O III]$  and  $He II$  in various PNs. These images bear out the intricacies hinted at by Curtis and show some remarkable differences between nebulae. Perhaps the most dramatic comparison is between NGC 7293 (the faint Helix Nebula in Aquarius), with its numerous famous “cometary” structures studied by many observers, and the smooth NGC 3587 (Owl Nebula), which seemed to show no fine structure at all.

Special mention must be made of Minkowski & Osterbrock’s (1960) observations of NGC 6720 and NGC 650-651, which appear to be cylinder or ringlike forms seen in different projections on the sky (compare with Curtis 1918). They estimate the electron densities inside the ring to be lower than in the rings from the  $[O II] \lambda 3729/\lambda 3726$  line ratios. The physical structures of the two PNs appear to be closely similar; the spatial orientations differ.

With the advent of the *Hubble Space Telescope* (HST) and adaptive optics and the supplementing of direct images with high dispersion spectroscopic data, considerable progress seems possible. We have used the Hamilton Echelle Spectrograph at the coude focus of the Lick Observatory 3m telescope to observe lines from 3660 to 10,125 Å with a spectral resolution generally of the order of 0.2 Å (full-width half maximum). The slit length is generally taken as 4.0'' to avoid overlapping echelle orders. These data often are supplemented by observations with the International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE).

Note that the size of the slit generally used ( $4.0'' \times 1.2''$ ) is almost invariably smaller than the size of the nebula under investigation. In praxi, this means that we can reach faint, closely packed lines, like the  $[N I] \lambda\lambda 5198, 5200$  pair, at a particular point in the nebular image but cannot explore the line variations from point to point. For this purpose, long slit data such as those employed by, e.g., Sabbadin & Hamazaoglu (1982) must be used. While our setup is suitable for dredging up faint features, large-scale studies of spatial excitation variations require monochromatic images or long-slit spectra.

In the 1940s it was recognized that the ratio of  $\lambda 4363$  to the  $\lambda\lambda 4959, 5007 [O III]$  lines could give a good clue to the electron temperatures in gaseous nebulae (Menzel et al. 1941) and that the  $\lambda 3726/\lambda 3729 [O II]$  line ratio would be valuable for getting electron densities (Aller, Ufford, & van Vleck 1949). What was needed were good cross-sections for collisional excitations of the relevant metastable levels. These were provided by Seaton (1954a,b) and by Seaton & Osterbrock (1957). Improvements in the quantum mechanical treatment have been made over the years, so that a greater variety of information can be extracted from the forbidden line data, particularly for  $[O II]$  and other ions with three equivalent  $p$  electrons.

By comparing lines of the nebular type transitions, e.g.,  $\lambda\lambda 6717$  and  $6730 [S II]$ , with those of the transauroral type transitions,  $\lambda\lambda 4068, 4076 [S II]$  (or the auroral type transitions that in this instance fall in the near-IR near  $1 \mu m$ ), one can obtain both the electron density and temperature for the same strata. Recall that in the earlier work on nebular diagnostics we obtained the electron temperature in the  $[O III] (O^{++})$  zone but the electron density in the  $[O II] (O^+)$  zone. Now it is possible to obtain the temperature and density in the

same zone, e.g.  $O^+$  (Keenan et al 1999). For the radiations of [N II], [O III], [Ne V], involving two equivalent  $p$  electrons, we need to compare optical region nebular-type transitions with IR transitions. This step involves comparing data in very different spectral regions secured with radically different detectors.

Calculation of collision cross sections involving the auroral and transauroral jumps in systems with 3 equivalent  $p$  electrons are difficult and have been completed successfully only in recent years, but we can now use lines of [O II], [Ne IV], [S II], and [Ar IV] to get diagnostics for nebular regions of very different excitation levels (Keenan et al. 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999). With the improvement of measurements of infrared lines, especially those measurements obtained above the earth's atmosphere, we can greatly extend PN diagnostics. In spectra as well as images we are always dealing with a two-dimensional projection of a three-dimensional image. The fine structure of the nebula may be below the resolution of the imaging element even when seeing is eliminated, as in HST data. And we are always taking some kind of an average along the line of sight.

That asymmetrical forms of PNs may be related to factors such as their chemical composition or mode of excitation is perhaps illustrated by objects such as NGC 6537. Its isophotic contours as measured on a plate secured by Minkowski are shown in Aller (1956; p. 243). This PN appears to show 4 arms with a strong increase in brightness towards the center. A possible interpretation by means of looped filaments is shown in the cartoon accompanying the plate.

The spectrum is most remarkable (see, e.g., Feibelman et al. 1985; Aller et al. 1999). Rowlands et al. (1994) called attention to the unusually high electron temperatures found in this object and in NGC 6302 ( $T_e \sim 41,000$  K and  $T_e > 60,000$  K, respectively, as deduced from [Ne V]). The electron temperatures range from  $\sim 6500$  K for [S II] and  $\sim 15,000$  K for [O II] to  $\sim 30,000$  K for [Ne IV] and, as we have mentioned, even higher for [Ne V]! Many years ago, Menzel & Aller (1941) showed that in a photoionized nebula of approximately solar composition, the electron temperature would not exceed about 20,000 K, even though the central star temperature might be  $\geq 200,000$  K. Clearly the high [Ne V]  $T_e$  values found in NGC 6537 and NGC 6302 must be due to some cause other than the photoionization mechanism that controls most planetaries.

Is it any surprise that PNs in which shock excitation plays an important role may be asymmetrical? Another example, whose spectrum we are studying intensively, is NGC 6543 (the Cat's Eye Nebula).

The importance of high resolution spatial resolution augmented by intensive spectroscopic data is obvious. It is important that additional data for the infra-red and ultraviolet be secured. The significance of observations from above Earth's atmosphere is clear. But it is also important that these efforts should be supported by concurrent theoretical studies, involving crucial atomic parameters, as well as by theoretical structural and hydrodynamical investigations.

## References

- Aller, L. H. 1956, "Gaseous Nebulae" (London: Chapman and Hall)  
 Aller, L. H., Ufford, C. W. & Van Vleck, J. H. 1949, ApJ, 109, 42

- Aller, L. H., Hyung, S. & Feibelman, W. A. 1999, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 96, 5371
- Campbell, W. A. & Moore, J. H. 1918, *Lick Obs. Publ.*, 13, 82.
- Curtis, H. D. 1918, *Lick Obs. Publ.*, 13, 57
- Feibelman, W. A., Aller, L. H., Keyes, C. D. & Czyzak, S. J. 1985, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 84, 2292.
- Green, W. K. 1917, *Lick Obs. Bull.*, 9, 72
- Keenan, F. P., Aller, L. H., Bell, K. L. Hyung, S., McKenna, F., & Ramsbottom, C. 1996, *MNRAS* 287. 1073
- Keenan, F. C., McKenna, F., Bell, K. L., Ramsbottom, C., Wickstead, A., Aller, L. H., & Hyung, S. 1997 *ApJ*, 487, 457
- Keenan, F. C., Aller, L. H., Bell, K., Espey, Feibelman W. A., Hyung, S., McKenna, F., & Ramsbottom D. 1998, *MNRAS*, 295, 688
- Keenan, D. C., Aller, L. H., Bell, K. L., Hyung, S., Crawford, F. L., Feibelman, W. A., McKenna, F., & McLaughlin, B. M. 1999, *MNRAS*, 304, 27
- Menzel, D. H., & Aller, L. H. 1941, *ApJ*, 93, 230
- Minkowski, R. 1964, *PASP*, 76. 197
- Minkowski, R., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1960, *ApJ*, 131, 547
- Perrine, C. D., 1929, *Astr. Nach.*, 237, 89
- Pradhan, A. K. 1976, *MNRAS*, 177, 31
- Rowlands, N. Houck, J. U., & Herter, E. 1994, *ApJ*, 427, 867
- Sabbadin, F., & Hamazaoglu, G., 1982, *A&A*, 109, 134
- Seaton M. J. 1954a *MNRAS* 114, 154
- Seaton M. J. 1954b, *Annales d'Astrophysique*, 17, 396
- Seaton, M. J., & Osterbrock, D.E. 1957, *ApJ*, 125, 66
- Wilson, O. C. 1950, *ApJ*, 111, 279
- Zanstra, H. 1931, *ZAp*, 2, 329